

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 MARCO GUZMAN,

5 Petitioner,

6 v.

7 ATTORNEY GENERAL, *et al.*,

8 Respondents.  
9

Case No. 3:17-cv-00515-HDM-CLB

**ORDER**

10  
11 In this habeas corpus action, after an initial 90-day period, and then extensions of  
12 time of 45 days and 40 days, the respondents were due to respond to Petitioner Marco  
13 Guzman's third amended petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 13, 2021. See Order  
14 entered January 19, 2021 (ECF No. 54) (90 days for response); Order entered April 22,  
15 2021 (ECF No. 58) (45-day extension); Order entered June 7, 2021 (ECF No. 60) (40-  
16 day extension).

17 On July 13, 2021, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 61),  
18 requesting a further 14-day extension of time, to July 27, 2021. Respondents' counsel  
19 states that the extension of time is necessary because of a personal medical  
20 emergency. Petitioner, represented by appointed counsel, does not oppose the motion  
21 for extension of time. The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is  
22 made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and there is good cause for  
23 the extension of time requested.

24 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement of  
25 Time (ECF No. 61) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including July 27,  
26 2021, to respond to the third amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.

27 ///

28 ///

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered January 19, 2021 (ECF No. 54) will remain in effect.

DATED THIS 14th day of July, 2021.

Howard D McKibben

HOWARD D. MCKIBBEN,  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE